

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/902,440 07/10/2001 | | Dennis R. Ulbrich | 22578.3 | 5506 | |
| 716 | 7590 11/06/2002 | | | | |
| | TH INCORPORATED | EXAMI | EXAMINER | | |
| | CAN STREET | LUGO, CARLOS | | | |
| SAN ANTON | TIO, TX 782051536 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3677 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | St | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---------------|--|--|
| | | Applicati n No. Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/902,44 | 40 | ULBRICH ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | · | | |
| | | Carlos Lug | | 3677 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicat | ion app ars on the | cover sheet with the | correspondence addres | ss | | |
| A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the set of extended period for reply will be set or extended period fo | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evo ation. ys, a reply within the state y period will apply and wi by statute. cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be til utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu | unication. | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | on <u>16 October 200</u> | <u>02</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b)[| ☐ This action is | non-final. | | | | |
| 3) 🗌 Dispositi | Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims | allowance excep under <i>Ex parte</i> Q | t for formal matters, p uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | rosecution as to the m 453 O.G. 213. | erits is | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 8-11 and 15 is/are pending in t | the application. | | | | | |
| • | ta) Of the above claim(s) is/are w | rithdrawn from cor | nsideration. | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>8-11 and 15</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers | and/or election re | equirement. | | | | |
| | he specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | |
| | he drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2001 is/ar | | or b) objected to by the | ne Fyaminer | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection | | • | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 T | he proposed drawing correction filed on | | | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are require | | | , | | | |
| 12)[T | he oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for | foreign priority und | der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | ı)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| _ | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | , | , , , , , | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority doci | uments have beer | received. | | | | |
| : | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| ; | Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for | e priority docume | nts have been receive Rule 17.2(a)). | ed in this National Stag | je | | |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for do | | | | lication). | | |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign langua cknowledgment is made of a claim for de | ge provisional app | olication has been rec | eived. | , | | |
| Attachment | • | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper | | | / (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152 | | | |
| S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev | | ffice Action Summar | v | Part of Pap | | | |



-Application/Control Number: 09/902,440

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to applicant's request for consideration filed on October 16, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a), which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections, set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8-11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prior Art in view of US Pat No 5,513,871 to Johnson or US Pat No 4,699,395 to Hale.

Regarding claims 8,9 and 15, the Prior Art discloses the invention as claimed. However, the Prior Art fails to disclose the use of a padlock to lock a gooseneck trailer hitch.

Johnson teaches that the use of a padlock (P) as a locking apparatus for a hitch is known in the art.

Hale teaches that the use of a padlock (Figure 1) as a locking apparatus for a hitch is known in the art.

As to claims 10 and 11, applicant is reminded that a change in the size of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. <u>In re Rose</u>, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).



-Application/Control Number: 09/902,440

Art Unit: 3677

It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a padlock, as taught by Johnson or Hale, into a gooseneck trailer hitch as described by the Prior Art, in order to lock the gooseneck trailer hitch.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on October 16, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to applicant's arguments regarding the use of Hale and Johnson references, they are used just to show that is known in the art to use a padlock as a locking apparatus for a hitch. The Prior Art already discloses the invention as claimed, except for the use of a padlock.

As to applicant's arguments about the rejection to claims 10 and 11, applicant is reminded that a change in size is not sufficient to patentably distinguish over the prior art, is consider as a design consideration.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents cited further show the state of the art with respect to hitches.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 4

-Application/Control Number: 09/902,440

Art Unit: 3677

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and

any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing

date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply

expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo. The examiner phone number is (703)-

305-9747. The fax number for correspondence before a final action is (703)-872-

9326 and the fax number for correspondence after final action is (703)-872-9327.

The email direction of the examiner is carlos.lugo@uspto.gov. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30am to 6:30pm (EST). If the

examiner is not available, please leave a message, including the application number

and the examiner will answer the message as soon as possible.

October 28, 2002

ROBERT J. SANDY PRIMARY EXAMINER